High strength steels

Graz University of Technology in Austria recently hosted an international conference to share expertise about the design and behaviour of high strength steels utilised in hydropower plant conduits. Richard Greiner, Horst Cerjak and Gerald Zenz give more information.

The third international conference on high strength steels for hydro power plants focused on the design concepts of pressure conduits in September 2013. Initiated by Professor Horst Cerjak and organised in an interdisciplinary way under the chairmanship of the above three authors, it brought together experts from all engineering disciplines of hydro-steel structures and pressure conduit construction – starting with hydraulic engineering, rock mechanics and geology, structural engineering, mechanical engineering up to material science and welding technology. Conference delegates came from hydropower companies, geotechnical and hydropower consultants, hydraulic steelwork suppliers, steel fabricators, steel producers and producers of welding consumables, as well as from universities. Representatives were from European countries, Japan and Africa.

The conference topics comprised planning and construction concepts of pressure conduits (armoured and unarmoured), structural steel design, life cycle evaluation, as well as material quality, welding and quality assurance. This paper highlights just a few selected aspects dealt with during the conference, which might be of interest for practitioners. More information is available in the proceedings* and in the selected papers published in a dedicated issue of the Steel Construction journal**.

High strength

Penstocks of hydropower plants are nowadays usually made of high strength steels (HSS). Diameters of about 12m and more lead to steel structures which are no longer just usual pipes, but are large welded steel structures with cylindrical or conical, possibly ring-stiffened forms in ducts, bends, manifold or surge tank components (see the example in Figure 1).

Under loading conditions of internal and external pressure with large cyclic and dynamic ranges – resulting from the impact of new service modes and pump storage operation – the structural steel design – on one hand – comprises all possible limit states (ranging from stress design and shake-down to stability and to fatigue design) to be found in existing codes, eg the Eurocodes, for the design of steel and shell structures. On the other hand, the structural behaviour present when there is interaction of the steel liner with the rock mass in underground penstocks leads to design conditions which call for the close collaboration of rock mechanics and geotechnical engineers.

The new high strength steels used in European penstock applications include steel grades with up to 700 MPa yield strength, both quenched and tempered as well as thermo-mechanically processed ones, while recent applications in Japan go even up to thermo-mechanical grades with 880 MPa yield strength. Plate thicknesses in the range of 100mm or even up to 200mm for stellite plates of branches are in use and demand not only high material quality, but also a high standard of welding technology connected with advanced quality assurance measures. In this regard, these “hydro-steel structures” require close cooperation between many engineering disciplines to guarantee high quality and safe standards.

One main outcome of the conference was the presentation of the international construction standard of pressure conduits of hydropower plants. This standard is mainly based on the Austrian experience (spectacular projects – e.g. the Limberg II pumped storage project, developed by Verbund Hydro Power AG, Austria (main diameter 7.6m, HSS of grade S550QL)).

Figure 1: Erection state of the bifurcator of Limberg II pumped storage project, developed by Verbund Hydro Power AG, Austria (main diameter 7.6m, HSS of grade S550QL)

Figure 2: (a) Steel-Penstock (self-supporting), (b) Steel- Lining (rock participation)

Figure 3: Current design criteria for steel liners under internal pressure (in Austria)

Figure 4: Ultimate limit state of rock with cracked zone: (a) stress state, (b) stress resultants. $R_o = (2Z_1 - u)r_z$; $R_y = p_r r_z$; $R_u = u(r_u - r_z)$
that were just finished, under progress or in the stage of planning. Another outcome was an overview given on new developments of structural design and on recent research results of steel penstocks.

In addition to some general reports on pressure shaft design, a number of interesting projects were presented, which were just finished, are in progress or being planned (see Table 1).

**Structural behaviour of steel liners**

The basic design principle of underground penstocks is the assumption of whether the steel pipe is interacting with the rock mass or not. Both approaches may be found (even in current international projects), i.e. the design as self-supporting pipe embedded in the rock (Figure 2a) or the design as lining closely interacting with the surrounding rock mass (Figure 2b).

From their mechanical background the first develops from the idea of a free penstock transferring just its weight to the rock, while the second starts with the structural behaviour of an unarmoured conduit utilizing the steel lining either just for reasons of sealing the conduit or to carry part of the internal pressure. In this case – like for the unarmoured conduit – the primary stress state \( \sigma_{cr} \) in the rock mass plays an important role.

From the point of view of erection and construction, the two approaches have much in common, such as similar concrete backfilling around the steel pipe or contact grouting for reasons of outside corrosion protection etc. However, the second approach usually applies substantial injection measures into the rock formation aiming at homogenizing the rock mass and equalizing its stiffness. Such measures require injection nipples in the lining which – considering the fatigue life of the conduit – may form weak spots due to their pronounced notch effects.

The current structural design of linings with rock participation tries to capture the complex mechanical effects by a number of isolated design criteria (Figure 3). Criterion 1 describes the well-known interaction of steel liner, concrete and rock under the internal pressure \( p \) and criterion 2 roughly represents the primary stress state in the rock mass. Criterion 3 represents a fictitious safety check for the pipe without any rock support, while criterion 4 provides a ductility check for the liner in case of cracks along the rock surface.

Reconsidering the four criteria makes it clear that they act like isolated corner posts marking a field within which the right solution should be found, rather than defining mechanically consistent limit states. In a consistent safety concept – e.g. as given by the Eurocode-concept - clear distinctions are made between the ultimate limit state ULS (increased load level \( \gamma_U \times p \)) and the serviceability limit state SLS (service load level \( p \)). While criterion 1 represents a consistent SLS-limit state on basis of an allowable stress level, the real safety level of the ULS-limit state remains open. An advanced design concept would therefore be needed.

**Innerhofer’s approach**

An advanced approach was presented at the conference in [1] and [2]. The main progress of this concept results from the new continuous description of the mechanical behaviour of the rock mass around a sealed (unarmoured or armoured) pressure shaft. The idea and derivation of this concept is to be credited to the work of Guntram Innerhofer, sen. It consists in the equilibrium state of the rock mass after a radial crack opens and grows to a certain depth \( r \). Thereby, the effect of the crack water pressure \( u \) becomes active.

The draft in Figure 4 illustrates the equilibrium of the rock mass in the primary stress state \( e_{cr} \) and the stress state of the fractured zone \( e_{fract} \). As result of the crack water pressure \( u \) propagates as long as equilibrium is found with the primary stress state \( e_{cr} \) and the crack-water pressure \( u \). Outside the boundary \( r \) sound rock exists. Provided that the stress state may be considered as uniform about the circumference, confinement is found as long as \( (\sigma_1 = 2\sigma_2 - u) \) is exceeded. As resultants, the equilibrium condition can be set up by the components \( R_L, R_R \) and \( R \). \( R_L \) represents the capacity of the rock mass along the boundary \( r \). \( R \) includes the capacity of both the rock mass along the boundary \( r \) and the sound zone (outside of the fractured zone) which becomes active in case of cracks along the rock surface.

Reconsidering the four criteria makes it clear that they act like isolated corner posts marking a field within which the right solution should be found, rather than defining mechanically consistent limit states. In a consistent safety concept – e.g. as given by the Eurocode-concept - clear distinctions are made between the ultimate limit state ULS (increased load level \( \gamma_U \times p \)) and the serviceability limit state SLS (service load level \( p \)). While criterion 1 represents a consistent SLS-limit state on basis of an allowable stress level, the real safety level of the ULS-limit state remains open. An advanced design concept would therefore be needed.

**Innerhofer’s approach**

An advanced approach was presented at the conference in [1] and [2]. The main progress of this concept results from the new continuous description of the mechanical behaviour of the rock mass around a sealed (unarmoured or armoured) pressure shaft. The idea and derivation of this concept is to be credited to the work of Guntram Innerhofer, sen. It consists in the equilibrium state of the rock mass after a radial crack opens and grows to a certain depth \( r \). Thereby, the effect of the crack water pressure \( u \) becomes active.

The draft in Figure 4 illustrates the equilibrium of the rock mass in the primary stress state \( e_{cr} \) and the stress state of the fractured zone \( e_{fract} \). As result of the crack water pressure \( u \) propagates as long as equilibrium is found with the primary stress state \( e_{cr} \) and the crack-water pressure \( u \). Outside the boundary \( r \) sound rock exists. Provided that the stress state may be considered as uniform about the circumference, confinement is found as long as \( (\sigma_1 = 2\sigma_2 - u) \) is exceeded. As resultants, the equilibrium condition can be set up by the components \( R_L, R_R \) and \( R \). \( R_L \) represents the capacity of the rock mass along the boundary \( r \). \( R \) includes the capacity of both the rock mass along the boundary \( r \) and the sound zone (outside of \( r \)) which becomes active in case of cracks along the rock surface.

![Figure 5: Crack-bridging: (a) linearized material behavior (b) critical crack-width \( S_{cr} \)](image)

![Figure 6: Okumino manifold (units 1-4) and model of the rock-embedded model [3]](image)

It may be noted that conservatively no strength effect of the rock mass has been included and that the results are independent of the stiffness of the rock \( \nu \). The rock would be free of cracks up to pressures \( p_{cr} = (2\sigma_2 - u) \), i.e. that the rock is confined up to \( r \). In contrast to the current criterion 4 above) the interaction between the rock mass and the steel liner can, therefore, be well determined.

The second use of the radial deflection is to calculate the potential width of the crack \( S_{cr} \). Because of the composite behavior of liner and rock – both are circumferentially bonded by the effect of friction under the contact pressure \( p \) – the opening of a crack must be bridged by the liner steel plate in a localized area over the length \( L \), see Figure 5b). This enforced elongation requires adequate ductility of the steel and may be approximated by the plastic parameters \( \Delta e_{pl} \) and \( \Delta e_{vr} \) of the material behavior. Assuming linearized stress-strain behavior as illustrated in Figure 5a, the stretched length \( L = \frac{L \Delta e_{pl}}{e_{cr}} \) and the critical crack-width \( S_{cr} \) may be determined by the formulae given in the figure. The upper expressions define the high significance of strain hardening \( \Delta e_{pl} \) and of the elongation \( \Delta e_{vr} \) (ultimate strain \( e_{cr} \) of the material and the ability of the wall to evenly elongate over the length \( L \)). This means that – given the case that the longitudinal weld was in the stretched area – overmatching of…
the weld would be significant in order to avoid the concentration of the elongation in the weld area. The limited potential of modern HSS to provide sufficient concentration of the elongation in the weld area. The weld would be significant in order to avoid the

Figure 7: Rock-embedded miter bends under internal pressure with axial thrust or Poisson forces [4]

Figure 8: Section of steel-lined pressure shaft (left), outside/inside view of welded nipple (right)

discussed in [2]. Practical examples show that the new approach merges the different design criteria of rock mass and liner in a mechanically consistent way, that it allows a transparent assessment of the material behavior of HSS and that in many cases it tends to a reduction in the amount of steel used. In addition, an overview is given in [2] of studies on the
effects of non-uniform rock stiffness \( V_R \) along the circumference of the liner. Analogous studies on non-uniform primary stress states of \( \sigma_s \) are in progress.

**Rock participation**
The design of special parts of linings as branch pipes and miter bends under internal pressure is usually based on the assumption of self-supporting conditions. Advanced developments for rock participation were presented in [3] and [4]. In the Hongawa Power Plant in Japan, the rock-embedded bifurcator with diameters 4.7/3.5 m – designed without rock participation – was used to study the interaction with the rock mass by in situ stress measurements and by FE-calculations of the rock-embedded model [3]. The good agreement of the two justified the utilization of rock-interaction at the manifolds of the large Okumino Power Plant (1.5GW) with main diameters 5.5 m and three bifurcations in HSS (Figure 6). Again, measurements and FE-calculations confirmed the participation of the rock, which reached a benefit of about 20%. For the design of rock-embedded miter bends, design formulae have been derived by analytical studies and comparative numerical calculations [4]. Thereby, the effects of the specific axial forces acting in underground installations play an important role (Figure 7). The analytical formulae coincide with the well-known design formulae for exposed bends in the case when the bedding was neglected. The benefits of the new design tools are – aside from the more economical design – mainly an improved calculation of secondary stresses and of the stress concentrations at the miters for fatigue checks.

**Fatigue design of HSS linings**
Owing to the increased use of HSS in hydro plants, a research project of the Austrian Research Agency

### Table 1: Projects presented

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Status of Work</th>
<th>Name of Plant</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Max. diameter [m]</th>
<th>Design Pressure [mwc]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liner</td>
<td>in operation</td>
<td>Limberg II</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liner</td>
<td>finalizing</td>
<td>Reisseck II</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liner</td>
<td>starting</td>
<td>Obervermunt II</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liner</td>
<td>in progress</td>
<td>Kaunertal</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liner</td>
<td>in operation</td>
<td>Hongawa</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>6.4 - 7.0</td>
<td>567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liner</td>
<td>in operation</td>
<td>Okumino</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penstock</td>
<td>in progress</td>
<td>Teles Pires</td>
<td>Brasil</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Liner</td>
<td>finalizing</td>
<td>Picote II</td>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branch Pipe</td>
<td>project basis</td>
<td>Nant de Drance</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branch Pipe</td>
<td>project basis</td>
<td>Grimsel 3</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liner</td>
<td>project basis</td>
<td>Atdorf</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liner</td>
<td>in progress</td>
<td>Linthal 2015</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liner</td>
<td>in progress</td>
<td>Innerkirchen 1</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Overview on Construction Details and Design Fatigue Resistances/Proposal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction Detail</th>
<th>Wohler-Strength</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \Delta \sigma_R ) [N/mm^2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHP N1, N2</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWW</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VWW</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZUS</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bohrbl. Drilled hole D = 30mm (deburred)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1), 2) … correction factor for wall thicknesses larger than \( t = 22\)mm
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FFG was conducted aiming at the fatigue design of linings with grouting nipples (Figure 8) in HSS-grades 700 (Q and TM), since under the new dynamic conditions of hydropower plants the then existing rules for nipples usually dominated the design. The report given in [6] presents the experimental and numerical research and the statistical derivation of Weibull-lines (SN-curves) for the specific nipple-shapes used in practical applications. A total of 35 fatigue tests (with welded and drilled nipples) were carried out with HSS-specimens of overall dimensions 280x840mm and 15mm plate thickness. Numerical simulations were made for reasons of evaluation as well as for determining the stress concentration factors for the specific types of nipples. Just the final results of the development are presented in Table 2. Concerning this data, it must be noted that the SN-curves are based on the structural hot spot stress concept, the fatigue strength $\Delta \sigma_{\text{sn}}$ is related to 2x10⁶ cycles and to HSS of grade 700 and the slope is m=5. The stress range $\Delta \sigma_{\text{sn}}$ in the design equation (equation 2) is determined by the nominal stress times the stress concentration factor SCF of the nipple times the shape factor $\alpha_{\text{sh}}$ of the load spectrum (determined without cut off limit). The safety factor $\gamma_f = 1.35$ is recommended, provided fabrication quality corresponds to the standard defined in the project.

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{\text{Koll}}(m=2,10^6) \cdot \Delta \sigma_{\text{s,max}} & \leq \frac{\Delta \sigma_{\text{Rmn}}(m=2,10^6)}{\gamma_f} \\
\text{and} \quad \Delta \sigma_{\text{s,max}} = \Delta \sigma_{\text{nom}} \times \text{SCF}
\end{align*}
\]

The outcome of the project are design tools based on experimental evidence which fulfill the requirements of modern limit state design and allow for an amended assessment of fatigue life of pressure shaft. Further information on research in progress on the fatigue strength of steel penstocks was presented in [9].

**Thrust ring research**

The experimental and numerical research on the load carrying behavior of thrust rings (or anchor rings, see Figure 9a) made of steel plates – funded by the Austrian Research Agency FFG – was reported in [7]. Eight tests with planar specimens and two tests with cylindrical pipes (Figure 9b) were carried out and numerically analyzed. The main focus was finding the distribution and magnitude of the (tensile) concrete pressure and the axial ductility of the thrust ring in the concrete – all in connection with the development of a FR-software for further simulations, which finally should lead to a design model for thrust rings. The outcome of the research was – firstly – that the maximum concrete pressure at the thrust ring was considerably higher than usually applied in current design and – secondly – that the ductility of the thrust ring was much higher than expected and could be well simulated by the adapted program. The work in progress should, therefore, lead to a much improved design procedure as a result.

An overview on the development of steel grades for hydro conduits in the last 50 years was presented in [8]. It illustrates the development from quenched and tempered steels (C+T) to thermally-mechanically treated steels (TM). The first application of TM heavy steel plates of grade 700 for liners in Austria at the PSP Reisseck II pumped storage HPP Kaunertal (Austria) where the TM steel grade 580/620 has been selected. Highly interesting insight into the development of heavy steel plates for penstocks was given for grades up to TM-HTS60 (yield 880 MPa) by Nippon Steel in Japan [11] and for grades up to 700 (yield) by voestalpine in Austria [12].
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